Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/580,401	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AWET HAILE	2474	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>AWET HAILE</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jay Einerson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>11/21/2011</u> .			
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant	☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 6, 12 and 16</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
Examiner called applicant's representative Jay Einerson to discuss patentability of the claims in view of the cited arts of record. The status of the claims and the patentability of the claims in view of the cited prior art of record was discussed. As a result of our telephone conversation, an agreement was reached wherein independent claims 1, 6, 12, 16 and 21 would be deemed in condition for allowance if amended to include, additional claim limitations suggested by examiner. Authorization for an examiner's amendment was given in another interview conducted on 11/21/2011.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
Attachment			
	/AUNG S. MOE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2474	

Application No.

Applicant(s)